# EXHIBIT B

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

STEVEN G. MILLETT,	)	
MELODY J. MILLETT,	)	
On Behalf Of Themselves and	)	
All Others Similarly Situated,	)	
Plai	ntiffs, )	
	)	
V.	)	C.A. No.: 05-599-SLR
TRUELINK, INC.,	)	CLASS ACTION
a Trans Union Company,	Ś	
* *	endant. )	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

## SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT - CLASS ACTION

Plaintiffs, Steven G. Millett and Melody J. Millett, by and through their undersigned attorneys, bring this action for damages against Defendant TrueLink, Inc., a TransUnion Company ("Defendant"). Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated persons. For their complaint against Defendant, Plaintiffs allege the following:

#### **PARTIES**

- Plaintiffs Steven Millett and Melody Millett ("Named Plaintiffs") reside in Johnson County, Kansas.
- Plaintiffs bring this action as representatives of a class of similarly situated plaintiffs ("Class Plaintiffs") who purchased the same or similar products and services of Defendant and who are entitled to bring the same causes of action against Defendant.

- 3. Defendant is a corporation duly organized and existing in accordance with the laws of Delaware and having its principal place of business in California. Defendant is authorized to do business and is doing business in the states of Delaware and California. Defendant is, upon information and belief, a subsidiary or affiliated company of TransUnion LLC and/or Trans Union Consumer Solutions (hereinafter collectively referred to as "TransUnion").
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant was engaged in the retail sale of services and products and, in conjunction with said sales, engaged in interstate commerce.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Named Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and Class Plaintiffs.
- 6. Jurisdiction of this action is conferred upon this court by the provisions of 28 U.S.C.§§ 1331, 1332, 1337, 1343 and 1367. The causes of action brought by Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs can be determined in this judicial proceeding and consolidated determination of these actions will provide judicial economy, fairness and convenience for all parties. The liability evidence establishing all causes of action will be identical and none will predominate nor create confusion to a jury.
- 7. The matter in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. There is diversity of citizenship between Named Plaintiffs and Defendant.

# GENERAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- On and after September 9, 2001, Defendant sold a credit monitoring service 8. known as Credit Monitoring or Online Credit Monitoring (hereinafter "Credit Monitoring").
- Defendant maintains an internet portal called TrueCredit through which 9. consumers may access and purchase credit analysis products including Credit Monitoring.
- At all pertinent times, Defendant advertised and marketed Credit Monitoring 10. as a service that provides the following privileges and services to Credit Monitoring members:
  - Protecting members against identity theft and fraud, a.
  - Allowing members to monitor their credit report with weekly fraudb. watch emails,
  - Providing members with weekly email alerts of changes in their credit ¢. reports,
  - Allowing members to immediately find out about fraudulent activity, d. and
  - Allowing members to always be on top of what is happening with e. their credit.
- The privileges and services that are provided to members of the Credit 11. Monitoring service are explained in the internet pages provided on the TrueCredit internet portal.

- 12. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs purchased the Credit Monitoring service from Defendant on or after September 9, 2001 through internet transactions on the TrueCredit internet portal.
- 13. In conjunction with their purchase of the Credit Monitoring service, Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs entered into contracts with Defendant which set forth the terms and conditions of the parties' use of the TrueCredit internet portal and the products and memberships that could be purchased on the TrueCredit internet portal ("Contracts").
- 14. The Contracts provide that consumers who purchase the Credit Monitoring service (i.e. become "members" of that service) are entitled to the privileges and services provided as part of the Credit Monitoring service in exchange for payment for membership in the Credit Monitoring service.
- 15. The Contracts further provide that membership in the Credit Monitoring service will be automatically renewed unless cancelled.
- 16. The Contracts do not expressly state the privileges and services to which members of the Credit Monitoring service are entitled, but instead incorporate the description of privileges and services provided in the description of the Credit Monitoring service that is found on the internet pages on the TrueCredit internet portal. The privileges and services that are promised to members, pursuant to the descriptions incorporated in the Contracts, are as follows:
  - a. Protecting members against identity theft and fraud,

- Allowing members to monitor their credit report with weekly fraudb. watch emails,
- Providing members with weekly email alerts of changes in their c. credit reports,
- Allowing members to immediately find out about fraudulent activity, d. and
- Allowing members to always be on top of what is happening with e. their credit.
- The Contracts provide that Defendant does not warrant the correctness, 17. completeness or accuracy of the information that it provides to consumers pursuant to the Contracts.
- The Contracts provide that the Credit Monitoring service is to be paid for in 18. advance and that payments will be automatically charged to a consumer's credit card.
- 19. The Contracts provide that disputes pertaining to the Contracts are governed by Delaware law and that jurisdiction and venue for any action pertaining to the Contracts are proper in the state and federal courts of New Castle County, Delaware.
- Despite the assertions in Defendant's marketing materials that Credit 20. Monitoring will protect consumers from identity theft and fraud, the Contract provides that consumers will not receive any identity theft or fraud protection

- services during the first 30 days following commencement of membership in the Credit Monitoring service.
- 21. The Credit Monitoring service was marketed by Defendant to consumers, including Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs, who feared that private, personal information would be used by the perpetrators of identity theft and credit information fraud.
- 22. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs were induced to purchase the Credit

  Monitoring service by Defendant which advertised and marketed the service
  as one that would help guard against fraud and identity theft.
- 23. Defendant represented that the Credit Monitoring service would provide protection to consumers against identity theft and fraud and would provide weekly fraud-watch emails.
- 24. The Credit Monitoring service failed to perform as represented by Defendant and failed to provide all or some of the services identified in the marketing materials and Contracts.
- 25. Defendant charged a fee to and received funds from Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs for the purchase and continued use of the Credit Monitoring service.

#### **COUNT I - CONSUMER FRAUD ACT**

26. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs incorporate the preceding paragraphs as if more fully set forth herein.

- Defendant, Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs are all "persons" for 27. purposes of the Consumer Fraud Act, 6 Del. C. §§ 2511-2527 ("CFA").
- The Credit Monitoring service provided by Defendant constitutes 28. "merchandise" for purposes of the CFA.
- The representations that Defendant made to Named Plaintiffs and Class 29. Plaintiffs regarding the Credit Monitoring service constitute "advertisements" for purposes of the CFA.
- The Contract through which Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs purchased 30. the Credit Monitoring service, and the transaction and agreement described therein, constitutes a "sale" for purposes of the CFA.
- The CFA provides that "[t]he act, use or employment by any person of any 31. deception, fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, or the concealment, suppression, or omission of any material fact with intent that others rely upon such concealment, suppression or omission, in connection with the sale, lease or advertisement of any merchandise, whether or not any person has in fact been misled, deceived or damaged thereby, is an unlawful practice."
- Defendant has committed an unlawful practice as defined in the CFA in that 32. Defendant has by deception, fraud, false pretense, false promise or misrepresentation promised through its marketing materials to protect members of the Credit Monitoring service from identity theft and fraud and to provide weekly fraud-watch emails, and Defendant has failed to perform

- those promises and/or actively disclaimed any responsibility to perform such promises following payment for such promises.
- 33. The misrepresentations that Defendant has made in violation of the CFA include misrepresentations that have been made in Delaware and that have affected Delaware consumers.
- 34. The CFA provides that "[a] private cause of action shall be available to any victim of a violation of this subchapter."
- 35. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs have been damaged by Defendant's violations of the CFA in that Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs have paid money to purchase a service to protect them from fraud and identity theft and Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs have not, in fact, received a service that protects them from fraud and identity theft.
- 36. In engaging in the acts described herein that constitute violations of the CFA, Defendant has engaged in reprehensible, outrageous and reckless conduct that exhibits indifference for the rights of others and Defendant's fraud was gross and oppressive.

WHEREFORE, Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs seek a judgment against Defendant for compensatory damages in a fair and reasonable sum, for their costs incurred in maintaining this action, for their attorneys fees, for punitive damages, and for such other amounts and relief as this Court deems just and equitable under the circumstances.

# **COUNT II - CONSUMER CONTRACTS ACT**

- 37. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs incorporate the preceding paragraphs as if more fully set forth herein.
- 38. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs are "consumers" for purposes of the Consumer Contracts Act, 6 Del. C. §§ 2731-2736 ("CCA").
- 39. Defendant is a "person" for purposes of the CCA.
- 40. The Credit Monitoring service is "merchandise" for purposes of the CCA.
- The transactions by which Defendant sold the Credit Monitoring service to Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs, through the Contracts, were "sales" for purposes of the CCA.
- 42. The CCA provides as follows: "In a contract for the sale or lease of merchandise to a consumer, a person engages in a deceptive practice when that person knowingly or recklessly . . . [d]istorts or obscures the terms, conditions or meaning of the contract or creates a likelihood of confusion or misunderstanding by the use of unintelligible words, phrases or sentences."
- 43. Defendant has engaged in a deceptive practice in that Defendant knowingly or recklessly sold the Credit Monitoring service to Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs, through the Contracts, and Defendant distorted or obscured the terms, conditions or meaning of the Contracts and created a likelihood of confusion or misunderstanding by using unintelligible words, phrases or sentences in the Contracts.

- 44. Defendant has engaged in a deceptive practice in that Defendant knowingly or recklessly sold the Credit Monitoring service to Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs, through the Contracts, and Defendant omitted the information that is required by 15 U.S.C. § 1679(d) &(e).
- 45. The Contracts violate the CCA in the following regards: the Contracts contain confusing cross-references; the Contracts contain sentences that are unreasonably long or complex; the Contracts contain double negatives and/or exceptions to exceptions; the Contracts contains sections and sentences that are in a confusing or illogical order; and the Contracts contain words with obsolete meanings or words that differ in their legal meaning from their ordinary meaning.
- 46. The CCA provides that "[a]ny person who engages in a deceptive practice governed by this subchapter shall be liable to a consumer in an amount equal to treble the amount of actual damages proved, plus reasonable attorney's fees."
- 47. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs have been damaged by Defendant's violations of the CCA in that Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs have paid money to purchase a service to protect them from fraud and identity theft, the service that Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs purchased does not protect them from fraud and identity theft, and Named Plaintiff and Class Plaintiffs were misled into purchasing the service due to the distorted and confusing

nature of the Contracts by which Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs purchased the service.

WHEREFORE, Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs seek a judgment against Defendant for treble the amount of actual damages, for their costs incurred in maintaining this action, for their attorney's fees, and for such other amounts and relief as this Court deems just and equitable under the circumstances.

#### **COUNT III - BREACH OF CONTRACT**

- 48. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs incorporate the preceding paragraphs as if more fully set forth herein.
- 49. Valid contracts existed between Defendant and Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs in that Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs accepted Defendant's offer of services by entering into the Contracts for purchase of the Credit Monitoring service and there was mutual assent between Defendant and Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs regarding the terms of the Contracts.
- 50. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs complied with all of their obligations under the Contracts.
- 51. Defendant breached the Contracts in that Defendant failed to provide the following promised privileges and services to members of the Credit Monitoring service:
  - a. Protecting members against identity theft and fraud,

- b. Allowing members to monitor their credit report with weekly fraudwatch emails,
- c. Providing members with weekly email alerts of changes in their credit reports,
- d. Allowing members to immediately find out about fraudulent activity, and
- e. Allowing members to always be on top of what is happening with their credit.
- 52. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs sustained damages as a result of
  Defendant's breach of the Contracts in that Named Plaintiffs and Class
  Plaintiffs failed to receive one or more of the primary benefits that they had
  paid for pursuant to the Contracts and, therefore, essentially suffered the loss
  of the money that had been expended for the purchase of such benefits.
- The actions committed by Defendant that constitute a breach of the Contracts, as described herein, were committed willfully and/or with malice and exhibit a conscious indifference to the injury that such actions would foreseeably cause to Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs seek a judgment against

Defendants for compensatory damages in a fair and reasonable sum, for their costs incurred in maintaining this action, for their attorneys fees, for punitive damages, and for such other amounts and relief as this Court deems just and equitable under the circumstances.

# COUNT IV - VIOLATIONS OF THE CREDIT REPAIR ORGANIZATIONS ACT

- 54. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs incorporate the preceding paragraphs as if more fully set forth herein.
- Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs are "consumers" for purposes of the Credit Repair Organizations Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1679, et seq. ("CROA").
- 56. Defendant is a "credit repair organization" for purposes of the CROA.
- Pursuant to section 1679b of the CROA, a credit repair organization may not "make or use any untrue or misleading representation of the services of the credit repair organization."
- Pursuant to section 1679b of the CROA, a credit repair organization may not "engage, directly or indirectly, in any act, practice, or course of business that constitutes or results in the commission of, or an attempt to commit, a fraud or deception on any person in connection with the offer or sale of the services of the credit repair organization."
- 59. Pursuant to section 1679b of the CROA, "[n]o credit repair organization may charge or receive any money or other valuable consideration for the performance of any service which the credit repair organization has agreed to perform for any consumer before such service is fully performed."
- 60. Defendant has violated the provisions of section 1679b of the CROA in that

  Defendant has made untrue or misleading representations regarding the

  Credit Monitoring service; Defendant has engaged in acts or practices that

  constitute the commission of, or attempt to commit, a fraud or deception in

connection with the offer and sale of the Credit Monitoring service; and

Defendant charged and received money for services that fall within the scope
of the Credit Monitoring service before those services had actually been
performed.

Pursuant to section 1679c of the CROA, before entering into any contract with a consumer, a credit repair organization shall provide the consumer with the following written statement:

Consumer Credit File Rights Under State and Federal Law

You have a right to dispute inaccurate information in your credit report by contacting the credit bureau directly. However, neither you nor any 'credit repair' company or credit repair organization has the right to have accurate, current, and verifiable information removed from your credit report. The credit bureau must remove accurate, negative information from your report only if it is over 7 years old. Bankruptcy information can be reported for 10 years.

You have a right to obtain a copy of your credit report from a credit bureau. You may be charged a reasonable fee. There is no fee, however, if you have been turned down for credit, employment, insurance, or a rental dwelling because of information in your credit report within the preceding 60 days. The credit bureau must provide someone to help you interpret the information in your credit file. You are entitled to receive a free copy of your credit report if you are unemployed and intend to apply for employment in the next 60 days, if you are a recipient of public welfare assistance, or if you have reason to believe that there is inaccurate information in your credit report due to fraud.

You have a right to sue a credit repair organization that violates the Credit Repair Organization Act. This law prohibits deceptive practices by credit repair organizations.

You have the right to cancel your contract with any credit repair organization for any reason within 3 business days from the date you signed it.

Credit bureaus are required to follow reasonable procedures to ensure that the information they report is accurate. However, mistakes may occur.

You may, on your own, notify a credit bureau in writing that you dispute the accuracy of information in your credit file. The credit bureau must then reinvestigate and modify or remove inaccurate or incomplete information. The credit bureau may not charge any fee for this service. Any pertinent information and copies of all documents you have concerning an error should be given to the credit bureau.

If the credit bureau's reinvestigation does not resolve the dispute to your satisfaction, you may send a brief statement to the credit bureau, to be kept in your file, explaining why you think the record is inaccurate. The credit bureau must include a summary of your statement about disputed information with any report it issues about you.

The Federal Trade Commission regulates credit bureaus and credit repair organizations. For more information contact:

The Public Reference Branch Federal Trade Commission Washington, D.C. 20580.

This written statement must be provided as a document which is separate from the contract between the credit repair organization and the consumer.

Defendant has violated the provisions of section 1679c of the CROA in that

Defendant failed to provide the required written statement in connection with
the Contracts by which Defendant sold the Credit Monitoring service to

Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs.

- 63. Pursuant to section 1679d of the CROA, a contract between a credit repair organization and a consumer must include a description of the "total amount of all payments to be made by the consumer to the credit repair organization."
- organization and a consumer must include "a conspicuous statement in bold face type, in immediate proximity to the space reserved for the consumer's signature on the contract, which reads as follows: 'You may cancel this contract without penalty or obligation at any time before midnight of the 3rd business day after the date on which you signed the contract. See the attached notice of cancellation form for an explanation of this right.'"
- 65. Defendant has violated the provisions of section 1679d of the CROA in that the Contracts fail to describe the total amount of all payments to be made by the consumer, but instead leave the payment terms open ended and continuing on a quarterly or annual basis; and Defendant failed to include the required statement near the space reserved for the consumer's signature.
- 66. Pursuant to section 1679e of the CROA, a contract between a credit repair organization and a consumer "shall be accompanied by a form, in duplicate, which has the heading 'Notice of Cancellation'" and shall include the following statement in bold face type:

You may cancel this contract, without any penalty or obligation, at any time before midnight of the 3rd day which begins after the date the contract is signed by you.

To cancel this contract, mail or deliver a signed, dated copy of this cancellation notice, or any other written notice to [name of credit repair organization] at [address of credit repair organization] before midnight on [date]
I hereby cancel this transaction,
[date]
[purchaser's signature].

- Defendant has violated the provisions of section 1679e of the CROA in that

  Defendant failed to provide Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs entering

  into the Contracts with the form required by section 1679e.
- 68. Pursuant to section 1679f of the CROA, a contract that is not in compliance with the provisions of the CROA "shall be treated as void" and "may not be enforced by any Federal or State court or any other person."
- 69. Pursuant to section 1679g, a credit repair organization that fails to comply with any provision of the CROA shall be liable for actual damages consisting of amounts that consumers have actually paid to the credit repair organization, punitive damages as determined by the court, and attorney's fees and costs of the action.
- 70. In engaging in the acts described herein that constitute violations of the CROA, Defendant has engaged in reprehensible, outrageous and reckless conduct that exhibits indifference for the rights of others.

WHEREFORE, Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs seek a declaration by this

Court that the Contracts are void and unenforceable, and further seek judgment for actual

damages in the amount of fees actually paid under the Contracts by Named Plaintiffs and

Class Plaintiffs, for punitive damages, for their attorneys fees and costs incurred herein, and

for such other amounts and relief as this Court deems just and equitable under the circumstances.

#### COUNT V - VIOLATIONS OF THE CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT

- 71. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs incorporate the preceding paragraphs as if more fully set forth herein.
- 72. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs are "consumers" for purposes of the Credit Reporting Agencies Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, et seq. ("CRAA").
- 73. Defendant is a "consumer reporting agenc[y]" for purposes of the CRAA.
- 74. The materials accumulated and/or provided in conjunction with the Contracts and/or the Credit Monitoring service constitute "consumer reports" for purposes of the CRAA.
- 75. Pursuant to section 1681e of the CRAA, "[w]henever a consumer reporting agency prepares a consumer report it shall follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates."
- 76. Defendant has violated section 1681e of the CRAA in that Defendant has provided consumer reports to Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs without following procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information contained therein and, to the contrary, has expressly stated in the Contracts that it does not warrant the correctness, completeness or accuracy of the information that it provides to consumers pursuant to the Contracts.

- 77. Pursuant to section 1681n of the CRAA, any person who willfully fails to comply with the requirements of the CRAA is liable for actual damages in an amount not less than \$100.00, for punitive damages as the court may allow, and for attorney's fees and costs.
- 78. Pursuant to section 1681o of the CRAA, any person who negligently fails to comply with the requirements of the CRAA is liable for actual damages sustained by the consumer, and for attorney's fees and costs.
- 79. In engaging in the acts described herein that constitute violations of the CRAA, Defendant has engaged in reprehensible, outrageous and reckless conduct that exhibits indifference for the rights of others.

WHEREFORE, Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs seek judgment for actual damages, for punitive damages, for their attorneys fees and costs incurred herein, and for such other amounts and relief as this Court deems just and equitable under the circumstances.

## REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATION AS CLASS ACTION

- 80. Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs incorporate the preceding paragraphs as if more fully set forth herein.
- 81. This action is brought as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b).

- 82. The class is made up of Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs and consists of persons who purchased the Credit Monitoring service from Defendant on or after September 9, 2001 by entering into the Contracts through internet transactions and who were induced to purchase the Credit Monitoring service by the advertising and marketing of Defendant which described the Credit Monitoring service as a means of protecting against fraud and identity theft.
- 83. The class members may be identified by means of Defendant's records regarding the contracts and internet transactions in question.
- 84. Defendant acted in a similar manner with regard to each of the class members in that Defendant used the same advertising and marketing materials to induce the class members to purchase the Credit Monitoring service and Defendant failed to provide the promised services to the class members.
- While the exact size of the class is unknown, Named Plaintiffs believe that the number of class members is in the hundreds of thousands and potentially millions, and that the class is geographically disbursed so that joinder of all class members is impracticable.
- 86. There are questions of law common to all class members in that, pursuant to the terms of the Contracts, all actions brought by class members will be controlled by Delaware law and all class members will be bringing the same actions against Defendant (i.e. Consumer Fraud Act, Consumer Contracts Act, breach of contract and violations of the CROA and CRAA). There are

- questions of fact common to all class members in that all class members purchased the same product from Defendant based upon the same representations made in Defendant's advertising and marketing materials and pursuant to the Contracts.
- 87. The Named Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interest of all class members.
- 88. The prosecution of separate actions by individual class members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual class members which would establish an incompatible standard of conduct for Defendant.
- 89. The questions of law and fact common to all class members predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members.
- 90. Proceeding as a class would permit the individual class members to prosecute their common claims against Defendant in a single forum, simultaneously, efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of evidence, effort and judicial resources and the class action mechanism is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 91. Class treatment is the only realistic means by which the class members, holding relatively small individual claims, can effectively litigate against a large and well-represented corporate defendant such as Defendant.

92. There are no unusual difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of this case as a class action and Named Plaintiffs and their counsel are not aware of any reason why this case should not proceed as a class action.

**WHEREFORE**, Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs request that this Court certify all counts of this action as a class action.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of all claims triable as a right by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher J. Curtin Christopher J. Curtin DE Bar Id. No. 0226 Erisman & Curtin 629 Mount Lebanon road Wilmington, Delaware 19803 Phone: (302) 478-5577 Facsimile: (302) 478-5494

Email: ccurtin659@aol.com

and

/s/ Barry R. Grissom
Barry R. Grissom, Esq.
KS Bar. Id. No. 10866
7270 W. 98th Terrace
Building 7, Suite 220
Overland Park, Kansas 66212
Phone: (913) 341-6616

and

Bryson R. Cloon KS Bar. Id. No. 08660 MO Bar. Id. No. 36843 Cloon Law Firm 11350 Tomahawk Creek Parkway, Suite 100 Leawood, KS 66211 Phone: (913) 661-9600 Facsimile: (913) 661-9614

#### and

B. Joyce Yeager, Esq. KS Bar. Id. No. 18932 7270 W. 98th Terrace Building 7, Suite 220 Overland Park, Kansas 66212 Phone: (913) 648-6673

#### and

Michael W. Blanton, MO Bar. Id. No. 46490 Swanson Midgley, LLC 2420 Pershing Road, Ste. 400 Kansas City, Missouri 64108 Phone: (816) 842-6100

**COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS**